

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,621 04/02/2001		Harold Mattice	403120	1062	
27717 7	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 55 E. MONROE STREET			COBURN, CORBETT B		
SUITE 4200	LUTRELI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			3714		

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/824,621	MATTICE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Corbett B. Coburn	3714		

	Corbett B. Coburn	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	davit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying					
NOTE: <u>See attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41	_	ecteu cianns.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 32-36.		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ıt does NO⊤ place the application ir	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See attached. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
		Corbett B. Coburn Examiner Art Unit: 3714					

Application/Control Number: 09/824,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment neither puts the application in condition for allowance nor simplifies the issues for appeal. Yet it does not present new issues – all electronic locks have a processor that causes the lock to move from a locked to an unlocked position. Certainly Graham teaches such a lock. Should Applicant submit an RCE with this amendment, Applicant may expect to receive a final rejection on the first action.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 25 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues that the combination of Luciano and Graham does not teach that the microprocessor can open a plurality of locks associated with access doors. Clearly, if Graham can open one lock, one of ordinary skill in the art can figure out how to use Graham to open two locks without undue experimentation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Jones can be reached on (571) 272-4438. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,621 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Corbett B. Coburn

Examiner Art Unit 3714